1	DENNIS J. HERRERA, State Bar #139669 City Attorney	
2	WAYNE SNODGRASS, State Bar #148137 JONATHAN GIVNER, State Bar #208000	
3	Deputy City Attorney	
4	City Hall, Room 234  1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  See Francisco California 04102 4682	
5	San Francisco, California 94102-4682 Telephone: (415) 554-4694	
6	Facsimile: (415) 554-4699 E-Mail: jon.givner@sfgov.org	
7	Attorneys for Defendants	
8		
9	PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN FREDERICK K. LOWELL #66641 BRUCE A. ERICSON #76342	N LLP
10	bruce.ericson@pillsburylaw.com ANITA D. STEARNS MAYO #142749	
11	MARC H. AXELBAUM #209855 marc.axelbaum@pillsburylaw.com	
12	50 Fremont Street Post Office Box 7880	
13	San Francisco, CA 94120-7880 Telephone: (415) 983-1000	
14	Facsimile: (415) 983-1200	
15	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
16		
17	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
18	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
19	COMMITTEE ON JOBS CANDIDATE	Case No. C07-3199 JSW
20	ADVOCACY FUND, et al.,	JOINT STATUS REPORT;
21	Plaintiffs,	[PROPOSED] ORDER
22	vs.	
23	DENNIS J. HERRERA, in his official	
24	capacity as City Attorney of the City and County of San Francisco, et al.	
25	Defendants.	
26		_
27		
28		

JOINT STATUS REPORT; [PROPOSED] ORDER CASE NO. C07-3199 JSW

## **JOINT STATUS REPORT**

Pursuant to the Joint Status Report and the Order filed May 13, 2010 (Dkt. 62), Plaintiffs Committee on JOBS Candidate Advocacy Fund and Building Owners and Managers Association of San Francisco Independent Expenditure PAC (collectively, "Plaintiffs"), and Defendants Dennis J. Herrera, Kamala D. Harris, the Ethics Commission of the City and County of San Francisco, and the City and County of San Francisco (collectively, "Defendants"), jointly file this Status Report.

In this case, Plaintiffs contend that Sections 1.114(c)(1) and 1.114(c)(2) of the San Francisco Campaign Finance Reform Ordinance ("CFRO"), codified in the San Francisco Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code, and Regulation 1.114-2 of the Regulations to the CFRO, violate the First Amendment to the United States Constitution by imposing limits on contributions to political committees for the purpose of making independent expenditures. The Court granted Plaintiffs' motion for preliminary injunction on September 20, 2007 (Dkt. 37), and that order has remained in effect since then pursuant to a series of stipulated orders. At the parties' request, the Court has stayed the litigation while the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals considered a case presenting similar issues, Long Beach Area Chamber of Commerce et al. v. City of Long Beach ("Long Beach," USCA Dkt. 07-55691). As described in the parties' May 12, 2010 status report (Dkt. 61), the Ninth Circuit issued an opinion in Long Beach this April, holding that the contribution limit in that case was unconstitutional as applied to some of the plaintiffs, and that the remaining plaintiff lacked standing to challenge the law. See 603 F.3d 684 (9th Cir. 2010).

In light of the Ninth Circuit's decision, counsel for Plaintiffs and Defendants are exploring potential settlement of this matter. The parties had hoped to have concluded settlement discussions by mid-June, but require additional time. The parties continue to believe that settlement discussions could be fruitful, and have agreed that an extension of the current stay until August 20, 2010 will allow them sufficient time to pursue the possibility of settlement.

For that reason, the parties agree that it would be most efficient and would best preserve the interests of judicial economy to continue the current stay. Subject to agreement by this Court, the parties agree that, by August 20, 2010, they will meet and confer to discuss how best to proceed with

## Casse 3::07-cv-03199-JSW Document 64 Filed 06/25/10 Page 33:05 64

1	this case and submit a joint status report or request for a case management conference. The partie	
2	agree that no case management conference is necessary at this time.	
3		
4	Dated: June 25, 2010	
5	DENNIS J. HERRERA	
6	City Attorney WAYNE SNODGRASS	
7	JONATHAN GIVNER TARA M. STEELEY	
8	Deputy City Attorneys	
9	By:/s/ Jonathan Givner	
10	JONATHAN GIVNER Attorneys for Defendants	
11	Thomeys for Defendants	
12		
13	Dated: June 25, 2010	
14	PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP	
15	FREDERICK K. LOWELL BRUCE A. ERICSON	
16	ANITA D. STERNS MAYO MARC H. AXELBAUM	
17		
18	*By: <u>/s/ Marc H. Axelbaum</u> MARC H. AXELBAUM	
19	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
20	*Pursuant to GO 45, the electronic signatory has obtained approval from this signatory.	
21	de mane de approvent from uno esgamos y	
22		
23		
24		
25		
<ul><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul>		
28		
_0	.1	

[PROPOSED] ORDER Pursuant to the agreement of the parties set forth in the foregoing Joint Status Report, and good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, the Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction (Dkt. 37) and the Stipulation and Order Staying Litigation entered by the Court on October 26, 2007 (Dkt. 51) shall remain in effect. 2. By August 20, 2010, the parties shall meet and confer and submit a joint status report or request for a case management conference. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: \_June 25, 2010 ted States District Judge